•
Nevada Bar No. 8589
PAUL G. ALBRIGHT
Nevada Bar No. 14159
NAQVI INJURY LAW
9500 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 104
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
Telephone: (702) 553-1000
Facsimile: (702) 553-1002
naqvi@naqvilaw.com
paul@naqvilaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
J. BRUCE ALVERSON
NEVADA BAR NO. 1339
KARIE N. WILSON
Nevada Bar No. 7957
ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
6605 Grand Montecito Pkwy., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
Telephone: (702) 384-7000
Facsimile: (702) 385-7000
kwilson@alversontaylor.com
Attorneys for Defendants

FARHAN R. NAQVI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT O	F NEVADA
PHILLIP ELWELL, individually	Case No.: 2:19-cv-01673-APG-NJK
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
GREGORY HARVEY, individually; FEDEX FREIGHT, INC. d/b/a FEDEX FREIGHT d/b/a FEDEX FREIGHT, SLV; and DOES 1 to 100, ROE CORPORATIONS 1 to 100, inclusive,	JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER
Defendants.	

The Parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby submit this Joint Pretrial

Order pursuant to LR 16-3. Following pretrial proceedings in this case, IT IS SO ORDERED:

I.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action arising from a motor vehicle collision that occurred on October 17, 2017, in Clark County, Nevada. Plaintiff PHILLIP ELWELL ("Plaintiff") alleges he was traveling westbound on Interstate 215 when Defendant GREGORY HARVEY ("Defendant Harvey"), who was also traveling westbound on Interstate 215, directly behind Plaintiff, allegedly operated a tractor-trailer negligently, which resulted in a rear-end collision between Defendant Harvey and Plaintiff, allegedly injuring Plaintiff. Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations. Plaintiff seeks general and special damages arising from the subject collision.

At all times relevant herein Defendant FEDEX FREIGHT, INC. dba FEDEX FREIGHT dba FEDEX FREIGHT, SLV ("Defendant FedEx") owned and/or controlled the 2012 Volvo tractor and trailer operated by Defendant Harvey. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Harvey was an employee and/or authorized agent of Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc., and was acting within the course and scope of such employment and/or agency, thereby rendering Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. vicariously liable for Defendant Harvey's negligence, if any.

II.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This case was removed to Federal Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441(a) and 1446 based upon diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§1332. During all times relevant, Plaintiff was and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada. At the time of filing of this action, Defendant Harvey was a resident of Colorado and Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. is a foreign corporation doing business in the State of Nevada. Plaintiff's alleged past medical expenses are \$185,211.96. Plaintiff has

further alleged additional damages on which his experts have rendered calculations, as well as damages for pain and suffering. Thus, the amount in controversy is in excess of \$75,000.00.

III.

ADMITTED FACTS

The following facts are admitted by the parties and require no proof:

- 1. Defendant Harvey was operating a tractor trailer owned by Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. at the time of the subject collision;
- 2. Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. entrusted the use of the tractor trailer to Defendant Harvey, and Defendant Harvey had Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc.'s permission to operate the tractor trailer at the time of the subject collision;
- 3. Defendant Harvey was an employee and/or agent of Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. at the time of the subject collision;
- 4. Defendant Harvey was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. at all times relevant to the events described in Plaintiff's Complaint; and
- 5. Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. is vicariously liable for the alleged acts, omissions and conduct of Defendant Harvey as it pertains to the events described in Plaintiff's Complaint, including alleged negligence that may be attributed to Defendant Harvey through proceedings in this case, if any.

24 || · ·

25 || · ·

26 ||.

28 || · ·

Page 3 of 41

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

IV.

UNCONTESTED FACTS

The following facts, though not admitted, will not be contested at trial by evidence to the contrary: None.

V.

ISSUES TO BE TRIED

The following are issues of fact to be tried and determined upon trial:

- 1. Whether Defendants were negligent;
- 2. Whether Plaintiff was comparatively negligent;
- 3. Whether the subject collision proximately caused injuries and other damages to Plaintiff;
- 4. The reasonable value of special and general damages incurred by Plaintiff as a result of the subject collision;
- 5. Whether the medical care and treatment received by Plaintiff was reasonable and necessary as a result of the subject collision;
- 6. Whether the medical care and treatment received by Plaintiff was causally related to the subject collision in this litigation;
- 7. Whether the claimed future medical care and treatment of Plaintiff is causally related to the subject collision; and
- 8. The reasonableness and necessity of medical care and treatment Plaintiff will require in the future as a result of the subject collision, and the cost thereof.

27 ||..

V.

ISSUES OF LAW

The following are issues of law to be tried and determined upon trial:

- 1. The amount of negligence, if any, attributable to each party in this litigation; and
- 2. Whether the subject collision was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's claimed damages, as well as the extent of said damages.

VII.

EXHIBITS

- A. The following exhibits are stipulated into evidence in this case and may be so marked by the clerk: None.
- B. As to the following exhibits, the party against whom the same will be offered objects to their admission upon the grounds stated:

1. Plaintiff's Exhibits and Defendants' Objections thereto:

	DESCRIPTION	DEFENDANTS' GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION
1.	Plaintiff's Complaint	
2.	Nevada Highway Patrol Traffic Accident Report	
3.	Nevada Department of Public Safety Voluntary Statements	Hearsay
4.	Photographs provided by the Nevada Highway Patrol of the vehicles involved in the subject collision	
5.	Property damage estimate and photographs of Plaintiff's vehicle provided by Spring Mountain Appraisers & Adjusters	
6.	National Safety Council's article titled "Injury Facts 2017 Edition"	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
7.	National Safety Council's report titled "The State of Safety" - 2017	Foundation, relevance, authenticity

Case 2:19-cv-01673-APG-NJK Document 45 Filed 01/19/21 Page 6 of 41

NDAND	INJURY LAW

8.	State of Nevada Driver's Handbook – May 2016"	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
9.	State of Nevada Driver's Handbook – January 2018	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
10.	State of Nevada Office of Traffic Safety Annual Report Book for 2017	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
11.	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's report titled "Traffic Safety Facts Nevada 2013-2017"	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
12.	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's article titled "Distracted Driving in Fatal Crashes, 2017" – April 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
13.	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's article titled "Police-Reported Motor Vehicle Traffic Crashes in 2017" – April 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
14.	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's article titled "2017 State Traffic Data" – August 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
15.	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's article titled "Summary of Motor Vehicle Crashes" for 2017 – September 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
16.	Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's report titled "Large Truck and Bus Crash Facts 2017" – March 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
17.	Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's article titled "Commercial Motor Vehicle Traffic Safety Facts" for 2017 – July 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
18.	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's article titled "Large Trucks" for 2017 – January 2019	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
19.	Insurance Institute for Highway Safety's article titled "Large Trucks 2017" – December 2018 https://www.iihs.org/iihs/topics/t/large-trucks/fatalityfacts/large-trucks	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
20.	Audio file of Plaintiff's recorded statement, available for download via the following link until July 27, 2020: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/417mgrfzk23dduu/AACKuCfIZHzUits2SXWw3V37a?dl=0	
21.	Custodian of Records Declaration and documents produced by Las Vegas Township Just Court – Traffic Department in response to Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum request	Citation not admissible under Nevada law; relevance

Case 2:19-cv-01673-APG-NJK Document 45 Filed 01/19/21 Page 7 of 41

22.	Custodian of Records Affidavit and documents	Foundation, relevance
	produced by the Nevada Department of Motor	
	Vehicles in response to Plaintiff's Subpoena	
	Duces Tecum request	
23.	Custodian of Records Affidavit, body-worn	
	camera footage, dash cam footage, photographs,	
	and documents produced by Nevada Highway	
	Patrol – Southern Command in response to	
	Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum request,	
	available for download via the following link	
	until July 27, 2020:	
	https://www.dropbox.com/sh/417mgrfzk23dduu/	
	AACKuCfIZHzUits2SXWw3V37a?dl=0	
24.	Documents produced by Superior Court of	Relevance
	California, County of San Bernardino, in	
	response to Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum	
	request	
25.	North American Spine Society website printout	Foundation, authenticity
26.	North American Spine Society 2021 Application	Foundation, authenticity
	for Membership	·
27.	Surgical cost letter authored by G. Michael	Foundation, relevance, authenticity
	Elkanich, M.D.	•
28.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng,	Foundation, relevance
	M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on September 13, 2004,	
	relating to Bowdre v. Ford Motor Company,	
	Case No. 24-356	
29.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng,	Foundation, relevance
	M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on February 29, 2005,	
	relating to Blainey v. United Airlines, Inc., Case	
	No. 04-PC-334	
30.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng,	Foundation, relevance
	M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on March 17, 2006,	
	relating to Hernandez v. Travelways, Inc, Case	
	No. 03-21274	
31.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng,	Foundation, relevance
	M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on March 28, 2006,	
	relating to Camacho v. W.D. Hardcastle and	
-	Greenwich, Inc., Case No. 2005-CI-09472	
32.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng,	Foundation, relevance
	M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on September 19,	
	2006, relating to Eubanks v. Cottrell, Inc., Case	
	No. 4:05-CV-01361-DDN	



33.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng, M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on January 4, 2007, relating to <i>Frazier v. Daleen</i> , Case No. 06-CV-00620	Foundation, relevance
34.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng, M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on January 19, 2011, relating to <i>Campo v. Cooper Tire & Rubber Company</i> , Case No. 2008CA012305XXXXMBAN	Foundation, relevance
35.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng, M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on April 28, 2014, relating to <i>Dolci v. ACE Transportation, LLC</i> Case No. D100291-C	Foundation, relevance
36.	Deposition transcript of C.E. "Ted" Bain, BEng, M.D., CCFP (EM) taken on May 3, 2016, relating to <i>Parker v. NGM Insurance Company</i> , Case No. 2:15-CV-2123	Foundation, relevance
37.	Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint	
38.	Defendant Gregory Harvey's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and Jury Demand	
39.	Curriculum vitae and fee schedules for Plaintiff's disclosed treating providers	Hearsay
40.	Curriculum vitae, fee schedule, and testimony history of Thomas Dunn, M.D.	Hearsay
41.	Expert reports, and supplements thereto, drafted by Thomas Dunn, M.D.	Hearsay
42.	Curriculum vitae, fee schedule, and testimony history of Robert G. Berry, M.D.	Hearsay
43.	Expert reports, and supplements thereto, drafted by Robert G. Berry, M.D.	Hearsay
44.	Curriculum vitae, fee schedule, and testimony history of Valentina Ngai, Ph.D., P. Eng.	Hearsay
45.	Expert reports, and supplements thereto, drafted by Valentina Ngai, Ph.D., P. Eng.	Hearsay
46.	Curriculum vitae, fee schedule, and testimony history of Roland D. Hoover	Hearsay
47.	Expert reports, and supplements thereto, drafted by Roland D. Hoover	Hearsay
48.	Curriculum vitae, fee schedule, and testimony history of Terrence M. Clauretie, Ph.D.	Hearsay

Case 2:19-cv-01673-APG-NJK Document 45 Filed 01/19/21 Page 9 of 41

5	M W	
) > 	
$\frac{1}{2}$	U N I	
4	J	

67.	Trailer Manifest	Relevance
66.	FedEx Freight General Fleet Facts and tractor trailer dimensions	Relevance
65.	FedEx Freight Driver Employee Expectations (City and Road)	Relevance
64.	FedEx Freight Driver Manual	Relevance
63.	Maintenance Records for the Subject Tractor Trailer	Relevance
62.	Defendant Harvey's Driver Qualification File	Relevance
61.	Defendant Harvey's Employment File	Relevance
60.	GPS Data for Defendant Harvey produced by Defendant FedEx	
59.	Photographs produced by FedEx Freight CL FILE 000125 - 000143	
58.	FedEx Freight's Claims Notes	Relevance; reference to insurance
57.	FedEx Freight Accident Report, dated October 17, 2017	
56.	FedEx Freight Inspection Details, dated October 17, 2017	
55.	Employment records from Fast Plumbing Services regarding Plaintiff	
54.	Nevada Highway Patrol Citation dated October 17, 2017	Citation not admissible under Nevada law; relevance
53.	Nevada Highway Patrol Accident Information Exchange	
52.	FedEx Freight Written Event Summary	
51.	Dashcam video to the subject incident	
50.	Defendants' responses to written discovery	Hearsay, relevance
49.	Expert reports, and supplements thereto, drafted by Terrence M. Clauretie, Ph.D.	Hearsay

68.	Gregory Harvey Route Maps for 10/16/17 – 10/17/17	
69.	FedEx Freight Training Materials (produced as Exhibits A-D in Defendant FedEx Freight's Responses to Plaintiff's Third Set of Requests for Production)	Relevance
70.	Smith System DVD, Pamphlet, and Training Materials	Relevance
71.	Education Transcript	Relevance
72.	Mark Rosen, M.D.'s initial report and all supplements thereto	Hearsay
73.	Mark Rosen, M.D.'s curriculum vitae, fee schedule, complete expert file, and testimony history	Hearsay
74.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Active Body Chiropractic	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident before said records are admissible
75.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Las Vegas Radiology c/o Canyon Medical Billing, LLC	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident before said records are admissible
76.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Western Regional Center for Brain & Spine Surgery	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
77.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Advanced Orthopedics & Sports Medicine	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
78.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Valley Hospital Medical Center c/o DCP Holdings, LLC	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible

79.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Desert Radiology	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
80.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Aurora Diagnostics LMC Pathology Services	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
81.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Las Vegas Neurosurgical Institute Center for Spine and Brain Surgery	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
82.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Monitoring Associates s/o DCP Holdings, LLC	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
83.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Neuromonitoring Associates c/o DCP Holdings, LLC	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
84.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Surgical Anesthesia Services, LLP	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
85.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Suditi	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible
86.	Plaintiff's medical and billing records from Dura Medic, LLC	Plaintiff must establish that all medical and billing records are reasonable, necessary and causally related to the subject incident, before said records are admissible

Plaintiff reserves his right to object to the aforementioned exhibits or portions thereof. Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine and stipulations entered into by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the parties, Plaintiff reserves the right to use any and all writings, published works, journals, treatises, medical texts, affidavits, films, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, reports, computer tapes, computer discs, and other data compilations, and other medical reference materials which Plaintiff, Plaintiff's treating physicians, and/or Plaintiff's experts use in support of Plaintiff's allegations. Plaintiff further reserves the right to offer documents produced by any party in which experts and/or treating physicians have reviewed and formed an opinion based on each document including, but not limited to, reports, pleadings, correspondence, notes, photographs, videos, measurements, literature, as well as medical records and billing. Plaintiff's treating providers may utilize any and all writings, published works, journals, treatises, medical texts, affidavits, films, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, reports, computer tapes, computer discs, and other data compilations, and other medical reference materials which Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's treating physicians use in support of Plaintiff's allegations, and/or those referenced by Defendants' experts.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Plaintiff reserves the right to use reports, affidavits and corresponding documentation, supplements, job files, *curriculum vitae*, lists of prior testimony, fee schedules, billings statements, models, charts, diagrams, literature, and other relevant documents of Plaintiff's treating providers, Plaintiff's experts, and Defendants' experts.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, deposition transcripts and/or videotapes may be used as needed for rebuttal, impeachment, and to refresh recollection. Deposition transcripts and/or videotapes may also be used for direct examination if the witness is unavailable at the time of trial. Plaintiff reserves

the right to use exhibits attached to deposition transcripts. Such deposition transcripts and/or videotapes include any depositions taken in this matter.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Plaintiff reserves the right to use exhibits disclosed and/or offered by any party in this matter, including Defendants, at the time of trial. Such documents may include all parties' NRCP 16.1 disclosures and discovery responses, as well as documents or tangible things itemized or referenced therein and/or attached thereto, whether in hard copy, on CD, or otherwise.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Plaintiff reserves the right to use any and all documents needed for rebuttal or impeachment including, but not limited to, all discovery obtained during the course of litigation as permitted, pleadings, and other documentation in accordance with admissible evidence.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Plaintiff reserves the right to utilize any and all documents produced by Defendants, and further reserve the right to add, delete or amend Plaintiff's exhibits as may become necessary prior to the trial of this case. Plaintiff reserves the right to object to the admission of the foregoing and any other exhibits offered by Defendants.

In addition to the above objections:

- a. Plaintiff reserves the right to make further objections regarding Defendants' proposed exhibits, including objections under FRCP 402, FRCP 403 and the collateral source rule;
- b. Plaintiff further objects to the extent that any exhibits involve matters in violation of any orders of the Court;

c.	Plaintiff objects to	Defendants'	use of deposition	transcripts	at the time	of tria
other than for	witnesses who are i	not available,	to refresh recollect	ion, or to in	npeach;	

- d. Plaintiff reserves all objections to Defendants' proposed trial exhibits other than those to be admitted into evidence by stipulation;
- e. Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement or amend his objections as exhibits are introduced and to the extent that additional documents/exhibits, if any, are identified;
- f. Plaintiff objects to all disclosures which are not properly authenticated at the time of trial:
- g. Plaintiff objects to each of Defendants' disclosures to the extent that those disclosures contain impermissible hearsay, are irrelevant, are not properly identified, are duplicative, are more prejudicial than probative, contain impermissible character evidence and/or have the tendency to mislead or confuse the jury;
- h. Plaintiff objects to Defendants' designation of all expert witness reports as trial exhibits as expert witness reports are improper exhibits for submission to the jury;
- i. Plaintiff reserves the right to make further objections to Defendants' proposed exhibits and witnesses at the time of trial, including all permissible objections based on the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, United States District Court District of Nevada Local Rules of Practice, and Federal Rules of Evidence; and
- j. Plaintiff does not waive the right to use or offer any of the foregoing evidence by virtue of the stated objections. Plaintiff reserves the right to present the aforementioned evidence at the time of trial for permissible and admissible purposes.
 - 2. Defendants' List of Exhibits and Plaintiff's Objections thereto:

|--|



		GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION
1.	Audio file of Plaintiff's recorded	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
	statement	foundation, and to the extent information
		is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the
		issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice,
		does not fairly and accurately depict the
		incident at issue, contains impermissible
		hearsay, and to the extent these items are
		otherwise excluded by the Court.
2.	Audio recording obtained during the May	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
	27, 2020 Independent Medical	foundation, and to the extent information
	Examination of Plaintiff	is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the
		issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice,
		does not fairly and accurately depict the
		incident at issue, contains impermissible
		hearsay, and to the extent these items are
		otherwise excluded by the Court.
3.	Commercial Driver's License for Gregory	Plaintiffs object only to the extent the
	Harvey	information is confusing, misleading,
		poses a risk of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, or concerns
		matters excluded by the Court.
4.	Dashcam video of the subject incident	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
		foundation, and to the extent information
		is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the
		issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice,
		does not fairly and accurately depict the
		incident at issue, contains impermissible
		hearsay, and to the extent these items are
		otherwise excluded by the Court.
5.	FedEx Freight Accident Report	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
		foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
6	EndEw Enright Impropriate Datails	conclusions.
6.	FedEx Freight Inspection Details	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
		foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on



		impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
7	FodEv Freight Written Frank Cymru ary	
7.	FedEx Freight Written Event Summary	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
		foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
8.	GPS data	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
		foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
9.	Gregory Harvey Route Maps for 10/16/17	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
	-10/17/17	foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, misleading,
		confusion of the issues, poses a danger of
		unfair prejudice, based on impermissible
		hearsay, unqualified medical opinions,
		opinions requiring expert knowledge,
		impermissible speculative opinions, and
		impermissible conclusions.
10.	Hunter Investigative Group surveillance	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
10.	materials regarding Plaintiff Phillip Elwell	foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		L AVNATT VNAWIAGGA IMNATMIGGINIA
		expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible



		conclusions.
11.	Incident Video, subject to the Stipulated	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
	Protective Order entered on December 13,	foundation, and to the extent information
	2019	within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay.
12.	Nevada Department of Public Safety	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
	Voluntary Statements	foundation, and to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
13.	Nevada Highway Patrol Accident	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
13.	Information Exchange	foundation, and to the extent information
	Information Exchange	within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay.
14.	Nevada Highway Patrol materials	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
L T •	obtained in response to Subpoena Duces	foundation, and to the extent information
	Tecum	within said document is irrelevant,
	recum	misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
15.	Nevada Highway Patrol – Southern	Plaintiff objects as to the extent
13.	Command Custodian of Records	information within said document is
	Affidavit, body-worn camera footage,	irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the
	dash cam footage, photographs, and	issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice,
	documents produced by in response to	based on impermissible hearsay,
	Plaintiff's Subpoena Duces Tecum	unqualified medical opinions, opinions
	request	requiring expert knowledge, impermissible
	request	speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
16.	Photographs taken by the Novede	
ıU.	Photographs taken by the Nevada	Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant,
	Highway Patrol of the vehicles involved in the subject collision	misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
	I III UIC SUDJECT COHISIOH	I misicaume, comusion of the issues, boses



		1 6 6
ļ		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
17.	Dua marter de una caracterista en d	impermissible hearsay.
1/.	Property damage estimate and	Plaintiff objects as to authenticity,
ļ	photographs for Plaintiff's vehicle taken	foundation, and to the extent information
ļ	by Spring Mountain Appraisers &	within said document is irrelevant,
ļ	Adjusters	misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
ļ		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
1.0	A CL	impermissible hearsay.
18.	Active Body Chiropractic radiology	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
ļ	images	within said document is irrelevant,
ļ		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
ļ		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay.
19.	Active Body Chiropractic medical records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
ļ		within said document is irrelevant,
ļ		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
ļ		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
ļ		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
ļ		medical opinions, opinions requiring
ļ		expert knowledge, impermissible
ļ		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
20.	Active Body Chiropractic completed	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
ļ	patient documents	within said document is irrelevant,
ļ		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
ļ		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
ļ		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
ļ		medical opinions, opinions requiring
ļ		expert knowledge, impermissible
ļ		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
21.	Advanced Orthopedics & Sports Medicine	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
	radiology images	within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
l.		
į		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible conclusions.
22.	Advanced Orthopedics & Sports Medicine	<u> </u>
22.	Advanced Orthopedics & Sports Medicine medical records	conclusions.
22.	<u> </u>	conclusions. Plaintiff objects to the extent information
22.	<u> </u>	conclusions. Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant,



23.	Canyon Medical Billing/Las Vegas Radiology medical records	medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible conclusions. Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
24.	Desert Radiology medical records	impermissible hearsay. Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay.
25.	Dura Medic records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible conclusions.
26.	Las Vegas Neurosurgical Institute Center for Spine and Brain Surgery medical records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible conclusions.
27.	Las Vegas Radiology medical records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible conclusions.
28.	Las Vegas Radiology images	Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on



		impermissible hearsay.
29.	Monitoring Associates medical records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
30.	Neuromonitoring Associates medical	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
50.	records	within said document is irrelevant,
	records	misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
21	0 1'4' 1' 1 1	conclusions.
31.	Suditi medical records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
		within said document is irrelevant,
		misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay, unqualified
		medical opinions, opinions requiring
		expert knowledge, impermissible
		speculative opinions, and impermissible
		conclusions.
32.	Valley Hospital Medical Center radiology	Plaintiff objects to the extent information
	images for 06/26/2018 through	within said document is irrelevant,
	06/29/2018	misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
		a danger of unfair prejudice, based on
		impermissible hearsay.
33.	Valley Hospital Medical Center medical	T T
33.	Valley Hospital Medical Center medical records	-
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant,
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant,
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible
	records	Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible conclusions.
33.		Plaintiff objects to the extent information within said document is irrelevant, misleading, confusion of the issues, poses a danger of unfair prejudice, based on impermissible hearsay, unqualified medical opinions, opinions requiring expert knowledge, impermissible speculative opinions, and impermissible

Defendants reserve their right to object to the aforementioned exhibits or portions thereof at the time of trial based on relevance, hearsay, or lack of foundation. Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine and stipulations entered into by the parties, Defendants reserve the right to use any and all writings, published works, journals, treatises, medical texts, affidavits, films, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, reports, computer tapes, computer discs, and other data compilations, and other medical reference materials which Defendants, Plaintiff's treating physicians, and/or Defendants' experts use in support of Defendants' defenses. Defendants further reserve the right to offer documents produced by any party in which experts and/or treating physicians have reviewed and formed an opinion based on each document including, but not limited to, reports, pleadings, correspondence, notes, photographs, videos, measurements, literature, as well as medical records and billing. Defendants' may utilize any and all writings, published works, journals, treatises, medical texts, affidavits, films, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, reports, computer tapes, computer discs, and other data compilations, and other medical reference materials referenced by their experts.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Defendants reserve the right to use reports, affidavits and corresponding documentation, supplements, job files, curriculum vitae, lists of prior testimony, fee schedules, billings statements, models, charts, diagrams, literature, and other relevant documents of Plaintiff's treating providers, Plaintiff's experts, and Defendants' experts.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, deposition transcripts and/or videotapes may be used as needed for rebuttal, impeachment, and to refresh recollection. Deposition transcripts and/or videotapes may also be used for direct examination if the witness is unavailable at the time of trial. Defendants reserve the right to use exhibits attached to deposition transcripts. Such deposition transcripts and/or videotapes include any depositions taken in this matter.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Defendants reserve the right to use exhibits disclosed and/or offered by any party in this matter, including Plaintiff, at the time of trial. Such documents may include all parties' NRCP 16.1 disclosures and discovery responses, as well as documents or tangible things itemized or referenced therein and/or attached thereto, whether in hard copy, on CD, or otherwise.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Defendants reserve the right to use any and all documents needed for rebuttal or impeachment including, but not limited to, all discovery obtained during the course of litigation as permitted, pleadings, and other documentation in accordance with admissible evidence.

Subject to any sustained objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Defendants reserve the right to utilize any and all documents produced by Plaintiff, and further reserve the right to add, delete or amend Defendants' exhibits as may become necessary prior to the trial of this case. Defendants reserve the right to object to the admission of the foregoing and any other exhibits offered by Plaintiffs.

In addition to the above objections:

k.	Defendants	reserve	the	right	to	make	further	objections	regarding	Plaintiff'
proposed exhi	bits, includin	g objecti	ons	under	FR	CP 402	, FRCP	403 and the	collateral s	ource rule

- 1. Defendants further object to the extent that any exhibits involve matters in violation of any orders of the Court;
- m. Defendants object to Plaintiff's use of deposition transcripts at the time of trial other than for witnesses who are not available, to refresh recollection, or to impeach;
- n. Defendants reserve all objections to Plaintiff's proposed trial exhibits other than those to be admitted into evidence by stipulation;
- o. Defendants reserve the right to supplement or amend his objections as exhibits are introduced and to the extent that additional documents/exhibits, if any, are identified;
- p. Defendants object to all disclosures which are not properly authenticated at the time of trial;
- q. Defendants object to each of Plaintiff's disclosures to the extent that those disclosures contain impermissible hearsay, are irrelevant, are not properly identified, are duplicative, are more prejudicial than probative, contain impermissible character evidence and/or have the tendency to mislead or confuse the jury;
- r. Defendants object to Plaintiff's designations of all expert witness reports as trial exhibits as expert witness reports are improper exhibits for submission to the jury;
- s. Defendants reserve the right to make further objections to Plaintiff's proposed exhibits and witnesses at the time of trial, including all permissible objections based on the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, United States District Court District of Nevada Local Rules of Practice, and Federal Rules of Evidence; and

t. Defendants do not waive the right to use or offer any of the foregoing evidence by virtue of the stated objections. Defendants reserve the right to present the aforementioned evidence at the time of trial for permissible and admissible purposes.

3. Demonstrative Exhibits

The parties reserve all appropriate objections to demonstrative exhibits for the time of trial.

C. Evidence in Electronic Format

The parties intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purposes of jury deliberations. Although it is not known at this time which exhibits will be electronically presented, the parties stipulate that they will provide discovery in an electronic format compatible with the Court's electronic jury evidence display system. The parties stipulate that they will contact the courtroom administrator for instructions about how to prepare evidence in an electronic format and other requirements for the Court's electronic jury evidence display system.

D. Depositions

Plaintiff will offer the following depositions: Plaintiff does not intend to read any depositions into the record at this time, but reserves the right to use depositions due to deponent unavailability, to refresh recollection and/or to impeach. The depositions in this matter include the following:

- 1. Plaintiff Phillip Elwell;
- 2. Defendant Gregory Harvey;
- 3. Defendants FedEx Freight, Inc. 30(b)(6) designee, Nicholas Gilmour;
- 4. Mark J. Rosen, M.D.;
- 5. Valentina Ngai, Ph.D., P. Eng.;
- 6. Jason E. Garber, M.D.;

155	46
	3
	A
	>
	ď
A	
12/21	43

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7.	Robert G. Berry, M.D.;

- 8. Roland D. Hoover; and
- 9. Timothy Trainor, M.D.

Defendants will offer the following depositions: Defendants do not intend to read any depositions into the record at this time, but reserve the right to use depositions due to deponent unavailability, to refresh recollection and/or to impeach. The depositions in this matter include the following:

- 1. Plaintiff Phillip Elwell;
- 2. Defendant Gregory Harvey;
- 3. Defendants FedEx Freight, Inc.'s 30(b)(6) designee, Nicholas Gilmour;
- 4. Mark J. Rosen, M.D.;
- 5. Valentina Ngai, Ph.D., P. Eng.;
- 6. Jason E. Garber, M.D.;
- 7. Robert G. Berry, M.D.;
- 8. Roland D. Hoover; and
- 9. Timothy Trainor, M.D.
- Ε. **Objections to Depositions**

Plaintiff objects to Defendants' depositions as follows: Plaintiff objects to Defendants' use of deposition transcripts for anything other than unavailability of a witness, impeachment, and to refresh recollection.

Defendants object to Plaintiff's depositions as follows: Defendants object to Plaintiff's use of deposition transcripts for anything other than unavailability of a witness, impeachment, and to refresh recollection.

VIII.

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

A. Plaintiff's Demonstrative Evidence:

Subject to any objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Plaintiff may offer at trial certain exhibits for demonstrative purposes, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Power point and/or other computer program used for presenting, expanding, blowing-up, or zooming in on any video, images, documents, animations, graphics and any other exhibits included herein;
- 2. Computer simulation, finite element analysis, and similar forms of computer visualization;
- 3. Video, storyboard and/or power point images, blow ups and/or transparencies of exhibits;
- 4. Diagrams and/or models of the human body, specifically related to Plaintiff's injuries;
 - 5. Surgical timeline;
 - 6. Medical treatment timeline;
 - 7. Future medical timeline;
 - 8. Photographs of the parties and other witnesses;
 - 9. Chart depicting Plaintiff's medical specials;
- 10. Samples of hardware such as, but not limited to, any hardware related to Plaintiff's injuries and surgeries;
 - 11. Items used to demonstrate and/or reenact Plaintiff's injuries;

	22
	3
	A
) >
	œ
	44
	Z
14(4)	44

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12.	Photographs and	videos of surgical procedures	and other diagnostic tests

- 13. Actual diagnostic studies;
- 14. Samples of tools, plates, screws and equipment used in the surgery that was performed and/or is recommended to be performed on Plaintiff;
- 15. Diagrams, drawings, pictures, photos, film, video, DVD and CD ROM of various parts of the human body, diagnostic tests and surgical procedures;
- 16. Power point, images, drawings, diagrams, animations, Google Maps and/or storyboards of the parties involved, the location of the subject incident, and/or reenactments of the incident at issue;
- 17. radiology films, x-rays, MRI, CT-scans, videos and diagnostic testing/documentation taken in connection with the care and treatment rendered to Plaintiff as a result of the subject incident including, but not limited to, all films; and
- 18. Plaintiff reserves the right to introduce any exhibits produced or referenced by Defendants, assuming the proper evidentiary foundation is laid, including, but not limited to:
- All pleadings, including, but not limited to, the Complaint, Answers, and a. amendments to all of the foregoing;
- b. All parties' 16.1 Disclosures and Discovery responses, as well as documents or tangible things itemized or referenced therein and/or attached thereto, whether in hard copy, on CD, or otherwise;
- c. All deposition transcripts, CDs, videotapes or digital recordings taken at such depositions, all exhibits attached thereto, and all documents or tangible things referenced therein;

	d.	All other	records	obtained	from	any	party	or	any	third-party	person	o
entity;												

- e. All medical, employment, educational, disability, financial or other records regarding Plaintiff prior to, during, or subsequent to the event at issue;
- f. All statements or reports of any witness, and all exhibits or other documentary evidence attached to or referenced in any such statement, report, or other document or tangible thing;
- g. All experts' files, reports, curricula vitae, supplements, addendums, fee schedules, billing statements, models, charts, diagrams, literature, and prior deposition, trial or other testimony; and
- h. Any other documentary evidence or tangible thing or exhibit discovered during the course of this litigation or disclosed by any other party, regardless of whether that party attempts to delist it or fails to use it at time of trial.

B. Defendants' Demonstrative Evidence:

Subject to any objections, orders on motions in limine, and stipulations entered into by the parties, Defendants may offer at trial certain exhibits for demonstrative purposes, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Power point and/or other computer program used for presenting, expanding, blowing-up, or zooming in on any video, images, documents, animations, graphics and any other exhibits included herein;
- 2. Computer simulation, finite element analysis, and similar forms of computer visualization;

ase 2:19-cv-01673-APG-NJK Document 45 Filed 01/19/21 Page 29 of 41

<u> </u>		1	
		4 1	
	1		
E			
		R	
	U	b	
		$\frac{1}{2}$	
		2	
	9	55	
	7	4	
T	-		

2	
3	

1

3.

injuries;

4

5

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

xhibits;										
4.	Diagrams	and/or	models	of th	ne human	body,	specifically	related	to	Plaintiff'

Video, storyboard and/or power point images, blow ups and/or transparencies of

- 5. Surgical timeline;
- 6. Medical treatment timeline;
- 7. Future medical timeline;
- 8. Photographs of the parties and other witnesses;
- 9. Chart depicting Plaintiff's medical specials;
- 10. Samples of hardware such as, but not limited to, any hardware related to Plaintiff's injuries and surgeries;
 - 11. Items used to demonstrate and/or reenact Plaintiff's injuries;
 - 12. Photographs and videos of surgical procedures and other diagnostic tests;
 - 13. Actual diagnostic studies;
- 14. Samples of tools, plates, screws and equipment used in the surgery that was performed and/or is recommended to be performed on Plaintiff;
- 15. Diagrams, drawings, pictures, photos, film, video, DVD and CD ROM of various parts of the human body, diagnostic tests and surgical procedures;
- 16. Power point, images, drawings, diagrams, animations, Googlemaps and/or storyboards of the parties involved, the location of the subject incident, and/or reenactments of the incident at issue;

	17.	All	radiology	films,	x-rays,	MRI,	CT-scans,	videos	and	diagnosti
testing	g/docum	nentati	ion taken in	connecti	ion with t	he care	and treatmen	t rendere	ed to P	laintiff as
result	of the s	ubject	incident inc	cluding,	but not lir	nited to,	, all films; an	d		

- 18. Defendants reserve the right to introduce any exhibits produced or referenced by Plaintiff, assuming the proper evidentiary foundation is laid, including, but not limited to:
- a. All pleadings, including, but not limited to, the Complaint, Answers, and amendments to all of the foregoing;
- b. All parties' 16.1 Disclosures and Discovery responses, as well as documents or tangible things itemized or referenced therein and/or attached thereto, whether in hard copy, on CD, or otherwise;
- c. All deposition transcripts, CDs, videotapes or digital recordings taken at such depositions, all exhibits attached thereto, and all documents or tangible things referenced therein;
- d. All other records obtained from any party or any third-party person or entity;
- e. All medical, employment, educational, disability, financial or other records regarding Plaintiff prior to, during, or subsequent to the event at issue;
- f. All statements or reports of any witness, and all exhibits or other documentary evidence attached to or referenced in any such statement, report, or other document or tangible thing;
- g. All experts' files, reports, curricula vitae, supplements, addendums, fee schedules, billing statements, models, charts, diagrams, literature, and prior deposition, trial or other testimony; and

	h.	Any other documentary evidence or tangible thing or exhibit discovered
during the	course of	this litigation or disclosed by any other party, regardless of whether that party
attempts to	delist it	or fails to use it at time of trial.

IX.

WITNESSES

The following witnesses may be called upon by the parties at trial:

Plaintiff's witnesses:

- a. Phillip Elwell
 c/o Farhan R. Naqvi, Esq., and
 Paul G. Albright, Esq.
 NAQVI INJURY LAW
 9500 West Flamingo Road, Suite 104
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
 Telephone: (702) 553-1000
- b. Gregory Harvey
 c/o J. Bruce Alverson, Esq., and
 Karie N. Wilson, Esq.
 ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
 Telephone: (702) 384-7000
- c. 30(b)(6) Designee(s) and/or Person(s) Most Knowledgeable of FedEx Freight, Inc.
 c/o J. Bruce Alverson, Esq., and Karie N. Wilson, Esq.
 ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
 Telephone: (702) 384-7000
- d. Trooper Toone
 ID. No. H6719
 Nevada Highway Patrol
 4615 West Sunset Road
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
 Telephone: (702) 486-4110

1	e.	Sergeant Silvio Diaz
2		Nevada Highway Patrol
3		4615 West Sunset Road
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Telephone: (702) 486-4110
4		1014 1014 1014 1114
5	f.	Arthur "Artie" Kaye, Appraiser for
6		Spring Mountain Appraisers & Adjusters P.O. Box 81704
7		Las Vegas, Nevada 89180
		Telephone: (702) 253-0400
8	g.	Person(s) Most Knowledgeable and/or
9	5.	Custodian of Records for
10		Spring Mountain Appraisers & Adjusters P.O. Box 81704
11		Las Vegas, Nevada 89180
12		Telephone: (702) 253-0400
	h.	Cal Hinebanch
13	111	4505 Hacienda Avenue, Unit C
14		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
15		Telephone: (702) 873-5500
16	i.	Nicholas Gilmour, Person Most Knowledgeable of
		FedEx Freight, Inc. d/b/a FedEx Freight d/b/a FedEx Freight, SLV
17		c/o J. Bruce Alverson, Esq., and Karie N. Wilson, Esq.
18		ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
19		6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200
20		Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 Telephone: (702) 384-7000
		Telephone. (702) 384-7000
21	j.	Joedee McCullough
22		FedEx Freight, Inc. d/b/a FedEx Freight d/b/a FedEx Freight, SLV c/o J. Bruce Alverson, Esq., and
23		Karie N. Wilson, Esq.
24		ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
		6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200
25		Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 Telephone: (702) 384-7000
26		
27	k.	Patrick Langin Adjuster for
28		Employers Mutual Casualty Company
	1.1	

1		P.O. Box 5760
		Peoria, Arizona 85385
2		Telephone: (623) 760-1500
3	1.	Las Vegas Justice Township Justice Court
4		Traffic Department
7		Person(s) Most Knowledgeable and/or
5		Custodian of Records
6		200 Lewis Avenue
		1st Floor – Regional Justice Center Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
7		Telephone: (702) 671-3478
8		Telephone. (702) 071 3470
	m.	Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles
9		Laura P. Peralta, and/or
10		Person(s) Most Knowledgeable and/or
		Custodian of Records
11		555 Wright Way
12		Carson City, Nevada 89711
		Telephone: (775) 684-4590
13	n.	Nevada Highway Patrol – Southern Command
14	11.	Sandy Nelson, and/or
		Person(s) Most Knowledgeable and/or
15		Custodian of Records
16		4615 West Sunset Road
10		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
17		Telephone: (702) 432-5389
10	0.	Superior Court of California
18		County of San Bernardino
19		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
20		Custodian of Records
20		247 West Third Street
21		San Bernardino, California 92415
		Telephone: (909) 384-1888
22	p.	Dave Rocco, Supervisor
23	h.	c/o J. Bruce Alverson, Esq., and
24		Karie N. Wilson, Esq.
24		ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
25		6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
26		Telephone: (702) 384-7000
27	q.	Mark J. Rosen, M.D.
		Bone & Joint Specialists
28		

1		2020 Palomino Lane, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
2		Telephone: (702) 474-7200
3	r.	G. Michael Elkanich, M.D.
4		Bone & Joint Specialists 2020 Palomino Lane, Suite 1100
5		Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
6		Telephone: (702) 474-7200
7	s.	Active Body Chiropractic
8		Cade L. Smith, D.C., and/or Glen Cochrane, D.C. and/or
0		Danial Brennan, D.C., and/or
9		Jesse G. Imber, LMT, and/or
10		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
		Custodian of Records
11		8945 West Post Road, Suite 105
12		Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
12		Telephone: (702) 254-1222
13		Les Veges Pedielegy
14	t.	Las Vegas Radiology Bhuvana P. Kittusamy, M.D., and/or
17		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
15		Custodian of Records
16		7500 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite 100
10		Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
17		Telephone: (702) 254-5004
18	u.	Western Regional Center For Brain & Spine Surgery
19		Jason E. Garber, M.D., and/or
20		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
21		2471 Professional Court
21		Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
22		Telephone: (702) 835-0088
23	v.	Advanced Orthopedics & Sports Medicine
24		Timothy J. Trainor, M.D., and/or Maria-Elena Pierro, PA-C and/or
25		Adam W. Range, PA-C, and/or
26		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
		7195 Advanced Way
27		Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
28		

w.	Valley Hospital Medical Center
	Jason E. Garber, M.D., and/or
	Mohi E. Alkadri, M.D., and/or
	Eric Moldestad, M.D., and/or
	Noel S. Yumiaco, M.D., and/or
	Morton Hyson, M.D., and/or
	Bruce T. Burnett, M.D., and/or
	Shahrokh Assemi, M.D., and/or
	Sujay L. Patel, D.O., and/or
	Dustin Van Orman, PA-C, and/or
	Jennifer Westmorelard, PA-C, and/or
	Dominga Colon, RN, and/or
	Sheree McLafferty, B.S., CNIM, and/or
	Brandon Sok, RN, and/or
	Ryan Howard, PT, and/or
	Pamela Morales, OT, and/or
	Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
	Custodian of Records
	620 Shadow Lane
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
	Telephone: (702) 894-5700

Telephone: (702) 740-5327

- x. Desert Radiology
 Eric Moldestad, M.D., and/or
 Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
 Custodian of Records
 P.O. Box 3057
 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206
 Telephone: (888) 727-1074
- y. Aurora Diagnostics LMC Pathology Services
 Noel S. Yumiaco M.D., and/or
 Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
 7455 West Washington Avenue, Suite 301
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
 Telephone: (702) 732-3441
- z. Las Vegas Neurosurgical Institute
 Center for Spine and Brain Surgery
 Jason E. Garber, M.D., and/or
 Dustin Van Orman, PA-C, and/or
 Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
 Custodian of Records
 3012 South Durango Drive

1		Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Telephone: (702) 835-0088
2		Telephone. (702) 655 6666
3	aa.	Monitoring Associates Morton Hyson, M.D., and/or
4		Sheree McLafferty, B.S., C.N.I.M., and/or Bruce T. Burnett, M.D., and/or
5		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
6		Custodian of Records 9811 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 2-641
7		Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
8		Telephone: (800) 310-7334
9	bb.	Neuromonitoring Associates Sheree McLafferty, B.S., C.N.I.M., and/or
10		Morton Hyson, M.D., and/or
11		Bruce T. Burnett, M.D., and/or Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
12		Custodian of Records
13		9811 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 2-641 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
14		Telephone: (800) 310-7334
	cc.	Surgical Anesthesia Services, LLP
15		Bruce T. Burnett, M.D., and/or Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
16		Custodian of Records
17		8400 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 202 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
18		Telephone: (702) 395-1070
19	dd.	Suditi
20	du.	Sujay L. Patel, D.O., and/or
21		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
22		2505 Anthem Village Drive, Suite E 134
23		Henderson, Nevada 89052 Telephone: (702) 453-3799
24	ee.	Dura Medic, LLC
25		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or
26		Custodian of Records P.O. Box 2728
27		Austin, Texas 78768
28		Telephone: (512) 320-5400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff reserves the right to call at trial any of the witnesses identified in Defendants' list of witnesses and any other witnesses that are otherwise permitted to testify including, but not limited to, any person not named herein for rebuttal/impeachment purposes. Plaintiff further reserves the right to call any Custodian of Records as may be necessary to testify as to the authenticity of the medical and billing records associated with Plaintiff's care and treatment. Plaintiff reserves the right to object to the foregoing and any other witnesses identified and/or called by Defendants.

Defendants' witnesses:

- Phillip Elwell a. c/o Paul G. Albright, Esq. NAQVI INJURY LAW 9500 West Flamingo Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
- b. **Gregory Harvey** c/o Karie N. Wilson, Esq. **ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS** 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
- Nicholas Gilmour c. c/o Karie N. Wilson, Esq. **ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS** 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
- d. Cade L. Smith, D.C., or Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records Active Body Chiropractic 8945 West Post Road, Suite 105 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
- Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records e. Canyon Medical Billing 6325 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

1	f.	Bhuvana P. Kittusamy, M.D., or
2		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
3		Las Vegas Radiology 7500 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite 100
4		Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
5	g.	Jason E. Garber, M.D., or
6		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records Las Vegas Neurosurgical Institute Center for Spine and Brain Surgery
7		3012 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
8		
9	h.	Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records Dura Medic LLC
10		PO Box 2728 Austin, Texas 78768
11		
12	i.	Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records Southern Nevada Billing
13		2660 Crimson Canyon Drive, Suite 130 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
14		
15	j.	Sujay L. Patel, D.O. and/or Person Most Knowledgeable Suditi
16		2505 Anthem Village Drive, Suite E 134 Henderson, Nevada 89052
17		
18	k.	Bruce T. Burnett, M.D., or Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records
19		Surgical Anesthesia Services 8400 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 202
20		Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
21	1.	R. Toone, Badge # H6719
22		Nevada Highway Patrol Southern Command
23		4615 West Sunset Road
24		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
25	m.	Scott Hunter Hunter Investigative Group Inc.
26		Hunter Investigative Group, Inc. 11700 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 170-267
27		Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
28		

	M
	A
O	
	R
	Z
	3

1	n.	Advanced Orthopedics and Sports Medicine		
2		8420 West Warm Springs Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113		
3 4	0.	Morton Hyson, M.D. and/or Person Most Knowledgeable		
		Monitoring Associates 9811 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 2-641		
5		Las Vegas, Nevada 89117		
6	p.	Pat Langin		
7	β.	EMC Insurance Company		
8		16150 North Arrowhead Fountain Center Drive, Suite 350 Peoria, Arizona 85382		
9		Teoria, Arizona 63362		
10	q.	Thomas Dunn, M.D. Desert Orthopaedic Center		
11		2800 E. Desert Inn. Rd., Suite 100		
12		Las Vegas, NV 89121		
13	r.	Noel S. Yumiaco M.D., or		
14		Person Most Knowledgeable and/or Custodian of Records		
		Aurora Diagnostics LMC Pathology Services 7455 West Washington Avenue, Suite 301		
15		Las Vegas, Nevada 89128		
16		Telephone: (702) 732-3441		
17	s.	Mark Rosen, M.D.		
18		2020 Palomino Lane, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106		
19		Las Vegas, Nevada 67100		
20	t.	Ted Bain, MD, CCFP 5711 University Heights Blvd., Suite 107		
21		San Antonio, Texas 78249		
	Defendants reserve the right to call or cross-examine any and all witnesses identified b			
22	the other parties herein. Defendants further reserve the right to call any Custodian of Records a			
23	may be needed	namy to tootify as to the authenticity of the medical and hilling records associated with		
24	may be necess	sary to testify as to the authenticity of the medical and billing records associated with		
25	Plaintiff's car	e and treatment. Defendants reserve the right to object to the foregoing and any other		
26	witnesses ide	ntified and/or called by Plaintiff.		
77				

20		
3		
Ú		
3		
Ć		
É		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

•	7
- 2	٧.

TRIAL DATE

Counsel have met and herewith submit a list of three (3) agreed-upon trial dates:

- **1.** June 7, 2021
- **2.** June 14, 2021
- **3.** June 21, 2021

It is expressly understood by the undersigned that the court will set the trial of this matter on one (1) of the agreed-upon dates if possible. If not, the trial will be set at the convenience of the court's calendar.

X.

TIME FOR TRIAL

It is estimated that the trial herein will take a total of **7 to 10 full judicial days**.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT BY:

DATED this 15th day of January, 2021. DATED this 15th day of January, 2021. NAQVI INJURY LAW ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS

/s/ Paul G. Albright_

FARHAN R. NAQVI Nevada Bar No. 8589 PAUL G. ALBRIGHT Nevada Bar No. 14159 9500 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Karie N. Wilson

KARIE N. WILSON Nevada Bar No. 7957 6605 Grand Montecito Pkwy, Ste. 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 Attorney for Defendants

 $\|..$

25 || ·

26

XI.

ACTION BY THE COURT

This case is set for jury trial on the stacked calendar on <u>September 27, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.</u>. Calendar call shall be held on September 21, 2021 at 8:45 a.m. in Courtroom 6C.

This pretrial order has been approved by the parties to this action as evidenced by the signatures of their counsel hereon, and the order is hereby entered and will govern the trial of this case. This order shall not be amended except by order of the court, pursuant to agreement of the parties, or to prevent manifest injustice.

DATED: January 19, 2021.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE: Due to the unusually large number of complex criminal cases set for lengthy trials before this Court, civil trials may possibly be held in a trailing status for months or be assigned to another District Court Judge for trial. Therefore, the Court strongly urges the parties to consider their option to proceed before a Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule IB 2-2, in accordance with 28 USC Section 636 and FRCP 73.

The Clerk shall provide the parties with a link to AO 85 Notice of Availability, Consent and Order of Reference - Exercise of Jurisdiction by a U.S. Magistrate Judge form on the Courts website.